

	iverpool LEP 2008 - To add th to Heritage Schedule	ne Defence National Stor	age and
Proposal Title :	Amendment 37 to Liverpool LE Centre to Heritage Schedule	P 2008 - To add the Defence	National Storage and Distribution
Proposal Summary :		C) site located at Moorebank	e of the Defence National Storage Avenue, Moorebank by listing the .EP 2008.
PP Number :	PP_2014_LPOOL_001_00	Dop File No :	14/01073-1
Proposal Details			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Date Planning Proposal Received :	06-Jan-2014	LGA covered :	Liverpool
		RPA :	Liverpool City Council
Region :	Sydney Region West	Continu of the Ast .	
State Electorate :	MACQUARIE FIELDS MENAI	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : Lo	t 1 DP1048263, Moorebank Avenue	, Moorebank	
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Cho Cho Myint		
Contact Number :	0298601167		
Contact Email :	chocho.myint@planning.nsw.gov	v.au	
RPA Contact Deta	iils		
Contact Name :	Megan Munari		
Contact Number :	0298219285		
Contact Email :	m.munari@liverpool.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Rachel Cumming		
Contact Number :	0298601174		
Contact Email :	rachel.cumming@planning.nsw.g	jov.au	
Land Release Data	a		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro South West subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes

Amendment 37 to Liverpool LEP 2008 - To add the Defence National Storage and Distribution Centre to Heritage Schedule

100		Heritage Schedule		
	MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
	Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
	No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
	Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
	The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
	If No, comment :			
	Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
	If Yes, comment :	In relation to the Lobbyist Code of Lobbyist Contact Register regardi		s on the Department's
Ş	Supporting notes			
	Internal Supporting Notes :	THE SITE		
		The the Defence National Storage developed as an intermodal freigh (SIMTA). The site is owned by Moo to the Department of Defence. The LEP 2008. It is surrounded by the the West and Defence land zoned s South and Eastern side. The surro listed as an item of Heritage signifi- Liverpool LEP 2008.	t terminal by Sydney Intermo rebank Industrial Property Tr site is zoned IN1 General Ind proposed Moorebank Intermo SP2 Defence and E3 Environ unding Iand - School of Milita	dal Terminal Alliance rust, and is currently leased lustrial under the Liverpool odal Terminal (IMT) site to nental Management to the ny Engineering (SME) is
		The DNSDC is listed on the Commo Department of Defence lease ends would need to be removed from th Section 341L Environmental Protec The site will have no heritage listin lease ends.	, the site will no longer be a " e Commonwealth Heritage Li ction and Biodiversity Conse	Commonwealth area" and st in accordance with rvation Act (EPBC) 1999.
		Council has advised that both the 2001 and the Non-Indigenous Herit December 2012 recognise the high are attached at "Documents" section Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage	age Impact Assessment prep heritage significance of the on. Council is, therefore see	pared by Artefact dated DNSDC site. These reports king to list the site in
		he intended outcome is to protect s Storage and Distribution Centre (D		ne Defence National
		Council has submitted a nominatio (OEH) to consider state listing. Cou significance and should be listed o	incil believes the site has bo	•
		The Heritage Division of the OEH h the site, however, is not able to giv nomination.		

Amendment 37 to Liverpool LEP 2008 - To add the Defence National Storage and Distribution Centre to Heritage Schedule

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment : The objective of the planning proposal is to protect the heritage significance of the DNSDC site by adding the site in Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? **Yes** Comment :

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation

- General's agreement 5.4 Implementa
 - 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? N/A

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

1.1 BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL ZONES

The site is zoned General Industrial (IN1) Zone under Liverpool LEP 2008. The planning proposal affects industrial zoned land but does not prohibit industrial development on the site or reduce the area zoned for industrial use.

The planning proposal is not inconsistent with this direction.

2.3 HERITAGE CONSERVATION

The objective of this direction is to conserve items, areas, objects and places of environmental heritage significance and indigenous heritage significance.

The planning proposal is consistent with this direction as it proposes to protect and conserve the site as an item of local environmental heritage under the Liverpool LEP 2008.

5.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF REGIONAL STRATEGIES

The Planning Proposal is not inconsistent with the relevant Regional Strategies.

7.0 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE METROPOLITAN PLAN FOR SYDNEY 2036

The planning proposal is consistent with the objectives of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2031.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :Mapping required for the planning proposal is sufficent for the Gateway purpose.Council has advised that amendment to the Heritage map HER-013 will be prepared.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? No

 Comment :
 Council has not proposed specific consultation process but has advised that community consultation is to occur following the issue of a Gateway determination.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in	Liverpool LEP 2008 is a Standard Instrument LEP.
relation to Principal	
LEP :	

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	THE SITE (refer to reports attached)
	The Defence National Storage and Distribution Centre (DNSDC) site contains eighteen timber buildings dating to World War Two. Fifteen of these are of timber post and beam construction, with nine internal bays. Two other buildings are the smaller Quartermaster's Store, with five bays of timber post and beam construction, and the Carpentry Workshop, which are timber framed and three bays wide, both constructed of Oregon.
	Other elements of significance include the alignments of rail sidings that once ran through the site which are still visible in the landscape through the location and orientation of some of the buildings and roads, while to the south a remaining siding is still clearly visible.
	OWNERSHIP/LEASE
	The site was sold by Defence in 2003, and then leased back. It is owned by Moorebank Industrial Property Trust. The initial lease term was for 10 years (i.e. expiring 2013) with two five-year extension options (i.e. potentially out to 2023).
	Defence will invest in new facilities and infrastructure for a DNSDC on the Commonwealth owned land to the north and east of the current leased site at Moorebank. Construction for this has commenced and is expected to be finished in late 2014. Although no particulars of the current lease agreement is known to Council, it is expected that Defence will give up the lease once the new facility is completed and the operations have been moved.

	HERITAGE SIGNIFICANCE		
	Council has advised that the pla Non-Indigenous Heritage Impac the Part 3A application and EPE significance of the site and the Commonwealth activities on the	t Assessment prepared by A BC Act approval. The report i fact that there will be no here	rtefact on behalf of SIMTA for recognised the heritage
	A Heritage Assessment of the s on behalf of the Department of I		Brooks and Associates in 2001
	Both these reports (in "Docume DNSDC site and recommended		tage significance of the
	PROPOSAL		
	In order to provide proper recog considered by Council that the register.		_
	Council believes the site has be registers and, therefore has nor A submission for nomination w (OEH) during December, 2013. T nomination.	ninated the site to be listed in as submitted to the Office of	n the State Heritage Inventory. Environment and Heritage
	DEPARTMENT SUPPORT		
	Council's planning proposal is a Brooks have identified the site a under the Liverpool LEP 2008 w the heritage provisions of the L	as having state and local heri ill allow consideration/protec	itage significance. Protection ction of its significance under
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is to ider local heritage item to be protect conservation) of the LEP.		
Environmental social economic impacts :	There will be a net community b DNSDC site within the LEP.	enefit in the recognition of th	ne heritage significance of the
Assessment Process	5		
Proposal type :	Mino r	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environment and Herit	age	

Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes

If no, provide reasons :

Amendment 37 to Liverpool LEP 2008 - To add the Defence National Storage and Distribution Centre to Heritage Schedule

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	is Public
Artefacts_report .pdf	Study	Yes
Council_resolution_and_report .pdf	Proposal	Yes
The_Heritage_report.pdf	Study	Yes
Liverpool City Council_06-01-2014_Planning Proposal	Proposal	Yes
for Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008_part 1.pdf		
Liverpool City Council_06-01-2014_Planning Proposal	Proposal	Yes
for Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008_part 2.pdf		
Planning_proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Site identification zoning and Heritage maps.pdf	Мар	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Exhibited for 14 days, and the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publically available along with planning proposal as identified in section 4.5 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning 2009).
	2. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
	3. The timeframe for completing the Local Environmental Plan is to be 6 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
	4. Council is to consult with:
	The Heritage Division, Office of Environment and Heritage
	PLAN MAKING DELEGATION:
	The Minister delegated his plan making powers to councils in October 2012. Council has

	now accepted this delegation. Liverpool City Council has requested to exercise the delegation for this planning proposal. The planning proposal will facilitate a local heritage item to be protected under the heritage provisions (cl. 5.10 Heritage conservation) of the LEP.	
Supporting Reasons :		
Signature:	RJamming	

L-r